UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Brandon Jordan Defendant	Case No. 1:15-mj-00231
	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – F	indings of Fact
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	ivolves:
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed to or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defendation	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
√ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
 (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a 	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
Alternativ ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	ve Findings (B) pear.
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant's ties to the Western District of Michigan are ve. 2. Defendant has an extensive criminal history including crim. 3. Defendant has no significant employment history and no co. 4. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.	es of violence.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

5. Defendant has a history of failures to appear.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 27, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge